

# PHYS 5326 – Lecture #9

*Wednesday, Feb. 28, 2007*

*Dr. Jae Yu*

1. Quantum Electro-dynamics (QED)
2. Local Gauge Invariance
3. Introduction of Massless Vector Gauge Field



# Announcements

- First term exam will be on Wednesday, Mar. 7
- It will cover up to what we finish today
- The due for all homework up to last week's is Monday, Mar. 19



# Prologue

- How is a motion described?
  - Motion of a particle or a group of particles can be expressed in terms of the position of the particle at any given time in classical mechanics.
- A state (or a motion) of particle is expressed in terms of wave functions that represent probability of the particle occupying certain position at any given time in Quantum mechanics
  - With the operators provide means for obtaining values for observables, such as momentum, energy, etc
- A state or motion in relativistic quantum field theory is expressed in space and time.
- Equation of motion in any framework starts with Lagrangians.



# Non-relativistic Equation of Motion for Spin 0 Particle

Energy-momentum relation in classical mechanics give

$$\frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} + V = E$$

Quantum prescriptions;  $\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla$ ,  $E \rightarrow i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ .

provides the non-relativistic equation of motion for field,  $\psi$ ,  
the Schrödinger Equation

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \Psi + V \Psi = i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t}$$

$$|\Psi|^2$$

represents the probability of finding the  
particle of mass  $m$  at the position  $(x,y,z)$



# Relativistic Equation of Motion for Spin 0 Particle

Relativistic energy-momentum relationship

$$E^2 - \mathbf{p}^2 c^2 = m^2 c^4 \Rightarrow p^\mu p_\mu - m^2 c^2 = 0$$

With four vector notation of quantum prescriptions;

$$p_\mu \rightarrow \frac{\hbar}{i} \partial_\mu \quad \text{where} \quad \partial_\mu \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}; \quad \left( \partial_0 = \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad \partial_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad \partial_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad \partial_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right)$$

Relativistic equation of motion for field,  $\Psi$ , the Klein-Gordon Equation

$$-\hbar^2 \partial_\mu \partial^\mu \Psi - m^2 c^2 \Psi = 0$$

2<sup>nd</sup> order  
in time

$$-\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial t^2} + \nabla^2 \Psi = \left( \frac{m c}{\hbar} \right)^2 \Psi$$

Wednesday, Feb. 28, 2007



PHYS 5326, Spring 2007  
Jae Yu

# Relativistic Equation of Motion (Dirac Equation) for Spin 1/2 Particle

To avoid 2<sup>nd</sup> order time derivative term, Dirac attempted to factor relativistic energy-momentum relation

$$p^\mu p_\mu - m^2 c^2 = 0$$

This works for the case with zero three momentum

$$\left(p^0\right)^2 - m^2 c^2 = \left(p^0 + mc\right)\left(p^0 - mc\right) = 0$$

This results in two first order equations

$$p^0 + mc = 0$$

$$p^0 - mc = 0$$



# Dirac Equation Continued...

The previous prescription does not work for the case with non-0 three momentum

$$p^\mu p_\mu - m^2 c^2 = (\beta^k p_k + mc)(\gamma^\lambda p_\lambda - mc) = \beta^k \gamma^\lambda p_k p_\lambda - mc(\beta^k - \gamma^k) p_k - m^2 c^2$$

The terms linear to momentum should disappear, so  $\beta^k = \gamma^k$

To make it work, we must find coefficients  $\gamma^k$  to satisfy:  $p^\mu p_\mu = \gamma^k \gamma^\lambda p_k p_\lambda$

$$\begin{aligned} & (p^0)^2 - (p^1)^2 - (p^2)^2 - (p^3)^2 \\ &= (\gamma^0)^2 (p^0)^2 + (\gamma^1)^2 (p^1)^2 + (\gamma^2)^2 (p^2)^2 + (\gamma^3)^2 (p^3)^2 \\ &+ (\gamma^0 \gamma^1 + \gamma^1 \gamma^0) p_0 p_1 + (\gamma^0 \gamma^2 + \gamma^2 \gamma^0) p_0 p_2 + (\gamma^0 \gamma^3 + \gamma^3 \gamma^0) p_0 p_3 + \text{Other Cross Terms} \end{aligned}$$

The coefficients like  $\gamma^0=1$  and  $\gamma^1=\gamma^2=\gamma^3=i$  do not work since they do not eliminate the cross terms.



# Dirac Equation Continued...

It would work if these coefficients are matrices that satisfy the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma^0)^2 = 1, \quad (\gamma^1)^2 = (\gamma^2)^2 = (\gamma^3)^2 = -1 \\ \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu = 0 \quad \text{when } \mu \neq \nu \end{aligned} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Or using} \\ \text{Minkowski} \\ \text{metric, } g^{\mu\nu} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = \\ \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu = 2g^{\mu\nu} \end{array} \quad \text{where } g^{\mu\nu} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Using gamma matrices with the standard Bjorken and Drell convention

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \quad \gamma^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Where  $\sigma^i$  are Pauli spin matrices

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$





# Dirac Equation Continued...

Using Pauli matrix as components in coefficient matrices whose smallest size is 4x4, the energy-momentum relation can now be factored

$$p^\mu p_\mu - m^2 c^2 = (\gamma^k p_k + mc)(\gamma^\lambda p_\lambda - mc) = 0$$

w/ a solution  $\gamma^\lambda p_\lambda - mc = 0$

By applying quantum prescription of momentum  $p_\mu \rightarrow i\hbar \partial_\mu$

Acting the 1-D solution on a wave function,  $\psi$ , we obtain Dirac equation

$$i\hbar \gamma^k \partial_\mu \psi - mc\psi = 0$$

where Dirac spinor,  $\psi$

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix}$$



# Euler-Lagrange Equation

For a conservative force, the force can be expressed as the gradient of the corresponding scalar potential,  $U$

$$\vec{F} = -\nabla U$$

Therefore the Newton's law can be written  $m \frac{d\vec{v}}{dt} = -\nabla U$ .

Starting from Lagrangian  $L = T - U = \frac{1}{2} m v^2 - U$

The 1-D Euler-Lagrange fundamental equation of motion

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \right) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_i}$$

In 1D Cartesian  
Coordinate system

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_1} &= \frac{dT}{dv_x} = m v_x \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_1} &= -\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \end{aligned}$$



# Euler-Lagrange equation in QFT

Unlike particles, field occupies regions of space.  
Therefore in field theory, the motion is expressed  
in terms of space and time.

Euler-Larange equation for relativistic fields  
is, therefore,

Note the four  
vector form

$$\partial_{\mu} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial (\partial_{\mu} \phi_i)} \right) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi_i}$$

# Klein-Gordon Lagrangian for scalar (S=0) Field

For a single, scalar field  $\phi$ , the Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi) (\partial^\mu \phi) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{mc}{\hbar} \right)^2 \phi^2$$

Since  $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi_i)} = \partial^\mu \phi_i$  and  $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi_i} = - \left( \frac{mc}{\hbar} \right)^2 \phi_i$

From the Euler-Lagrange equation, we obtain

$$\partial_\mu \partial^\mu \phi + \left( \frac{mc}{\hbar} \right)^2 \phi = 0$$

This equation is the Klein-Gordon equation describing a free, scalar particle (spin 0) of mass  $m$ .



# Dirac Lagrangian for Spinor (S=1/2) Field

For a spinor field  $\psi$ , the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = i(\hbar c) \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi - (mc^2) \bar{\psi} \psi$$

$$\text{Since } \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \bar{\psi})} = 0 \text{ and } \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \bar{\psi}} = i(\hbar c) \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi - mc^2 \psi$$

From the Euler-Lagrange equation for  $\bar{\psi}$ , we obtain

$$i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi - \left( \frac{mc}{\hbar} \right) \psi = 0$$

Dirac equation for a particle of spin  $\frac{1}{2}$  and mass  $m$ .

How's Euler Lagrangian equation looks like for  $\psi$ ?



# Proca Lagrangian for Vector (S=1) Field

Suppose we take the Lagrangian for a vector field  $A^\mu$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} &= -\frac{1}{16\pi}(\partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu)(\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu) + \frac{1}{8\pi}\left(\frac{mc}{\hbar}\right)^2 A^\nu A_\nu \\ &= -\frac{1}{16\pi}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{8\pi}\left(\frac{mc}{\hbar}\right)^2 A^\nu A_\nu\end{aligned}$$

Where  $F^{\mu\nu}$  is the field strength tensor in relativistic notation,  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  in Maxwell's equation form an anti-symmetric second-rank tensor

$$F^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -E_x & -E_y & -E_z \\ E_x & 0 & -B_z & B_y \\ E_y & B_z & 0 & -B_x \\ E_z & -B_y & B_x & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$



# Proca Lagrangian for Vector (S=1) Field

Suppose we take the Lagrangian for a vector field  $A^\mu$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} &= -\frac{1}{16\pi}(\partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu)(\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu) + \frac{1}{8\pi}\left(\frac{mc}{\hbar}\right)^2 A^\nu A_\nu \\ &= -\frac{1}{16\pi}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{8\pi}\left(\frac{mc}{\hbar}\right)^2 A^\nu A_\nu\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Since } \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu A_\nu)} = -\frac{1}{4\pi}(\partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu) \text{ and } \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial A_\nu} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\left(\frac{mc}{\hbar}\right)^2 A^\nu$$

From the Euler-Lagrange equation for  $A^\mu$ , we obtain

$$\partial_\mu (\partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu) + \left(\frac{mc}{\hbar}\right)^2 A^\nu = \partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} + \left(\frac{mc}{\hbar}\right)^2 A^\nu = 0$$

Proca equation for a particle of spin 1 and mass  $m$ .

For  $m=0$ , this equation is for an electromagnetic field.

# Lagrangians

- Lagrangians we discussed are concocted to produce desired field equations
  - $\mathcal{L}$  derived ( $L=T-V$ ) in classical mechanics
  - $\mathcal{L}$  taken as axiomatic in field theory
- The Lagrangian for a particular system is not unique
  - Can always multiply by a constant
  - Or add a divergence
  - Since these do not affect field equations due to cancellations





# Homework

- Prove that  $F_{mn}$  can represent Maxwell's equations, pg. 225 of Griffith's book.
- Derive Eq. 11.17 in Griffith's book
- Due is Wednesday, Mar. 7

